UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,981	01/26/2006	Shigeyoshi Nishino	740709-551	9439
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 05/05/200 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		WILLIS, DOUGLAS M		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,981	NISHINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DOUGLAS M. WILLIS	1624	

	DOUGLAS IVI. WILLIS	1024	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37 to	CFR 41.37(a).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s). 	·	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	∢planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 7 and 8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The claims, if amended as proposed, would not avoid an	y of the rejections set forth in the la	ast Office action, and t	
amendment would not place the case in condition for allo		<u>peal.</u>	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/James O. Wilson/	/DOUGLAS M WILLIS/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624	Fyaminer Art Unit 1624		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's document does not present any NEW amendments to the claims.